



It's Still Personal

June 2017

Contents

	Page
Summary	3
Introduction.....	3
Progress towards Personalisation.....	4
• Austerity.....	4
• Strength based work	5
○ Shropshire County Council	
○ London Borough of Barnet	
• Integrated Personal Commissioning Programme.....	7
• Personal Budgets.....	7
○ North East Lincolnshire FOCUS	
○ TLAP case study	
• Integration and collaboration with NHS partners.....	10
• Workforce.....	11
• Care Act.....	11
• Community capacity and asset based approaches.....	12
○ Derby City Council	
• Co-production	13
• Making Safeguarding Personal.....	14
Conclusion.....	14

Appendices

Appendix 1.....	16
• Supporting documents	

Summary

Since the implementation of the Care Act 2014, personalisation has become part of the mainstream of adult social care, with rights to personalised care and support enshrined in law. Having worked with users, carers and partner agencies to implement personalised social care across England since the publication of Putting People First in 2007, ADASS has been a champion of personalisation since its inception. This paper considers developments in personalisation and how it is operating in the current climate.

Personalisation recognises people as individuals who have preferences and puts them at the centre of their own care and support. The traditional service-led approach has often meant that people have not been able to shape the support they want.

Personalised approaches such as personalised commissioning and Personal Budgets involve enabling people to identify their own needs and make choices about how and when they are supported to live their lives. A person should decide how any funding should be managed and how best to spend it to meet their needs and achieve their agreed outcomes.

Discussions about taking personalisation further are happening in a very difficult financial climate. Many local authorities have worked hard in challenging financial circumstances to give people greater choice and control. Despite the growing financial pressures, there is consensus that the principles of personalisation should be supported. Individuals should be in charge of their own care and support and have control and choice over the key decisions that affect them. Personalisation encompasses a broad range of approaches in adult social care: self-directed support, co-production, self-management, empowering information and community capacity building. These remain as relevant as ever and vital tools to ensure a high quality adult social care system.

Introduction

Good care and support transforms lives, helping people to lead fulfilled lives in a variety of circumstances. It enhances health and wellbeing, increasing independence, choice and control. It is distinctive, valued, and personal.

Personalisation is central to ensuring people receive the right support that helps them live independently. Personalisation not only meets someone's social needs, but mental and physical ones. It is not new, with roots in the disability rights and mental health survivor movements from the 1970s onwards, as well as being core to traditional social work values. Personalisation starts with the individual, rather than the service and recasts the relationship between professionals, organisations, and the people they serve.

Self-directed support is about putting the individual at the centre of the process of working out what their needs are, choosing what support they need and having control over their life. This is a different approach to a traditional 'one size fits all' system of individuals having to access, and fit into, care and support services that

already exist, which have been designed and commissioned on their behalf. If people, including people who lack capacity and their carers, want personalised care and support they will have to spend some time thinking about their needs, the outcomes they wish to achieve, and how they may want to meet their needs. Many authorities are now developing asset, strengths or resilience based approaches to social work support and practice, building on self-directed support.

Personalisation is founded in coproduction, whether of policies, strategies, services and beyond, between people who use services, carers and professionals. There are different definitions of and methods for coproduction, but working co-productively leads to improved outcomes for people who use services and their carers, as well as having a positive impact on the workforce.

Finally, building and supporting community capacity is a critical building block of personalisation.

Progress towards personalisation

There is general consensus that progress has been made towards delivering many aspects of personalised care and support as the norm, but the total transformation envisaged by 'Putting People First' has not yet been achieved. ADASS has supported the policy of personalisation alongside disabled people from the very beginning. While the journey to that vision continues, with increasing numbers of people taking charge over the support they receive, the current acute and sustained pressure on public finances could put at risk the progress already made and the vital changes still needed. This means continued attention is required to ensure that personalisation delivers the best outcomes for people, carers and families, regardless of where they live, their needs or circumstances.

The latest ADASS budget survey from July 2016 showed Personal Budgets were a targeted area for service reductions in 2015/16 alongside reductions across the board. However, councils are doing what they can to protect these budgets: only 18 percent of the targeted cuts were to Personal Budgets, However, by 2018-2020, 72 percent of directors thought that people will get smaller Personal Budgets.¹

The report will now look at some of the key drivers and opportunities for personalisation in the context of austerity: Personal Budgets, Integrated Personal Commissioning, integration and collaboration with NHS partners, workforce, the introduction of the Care Act, and community capacity and asset based approaches.

Austerity

Personalisation is being developed to change the nature and balance of services. This is happening at a time of continuing financial challenges on public services, which has led to a huge pressure on resources to maintain services. The effect of financial cuts means that there is substantially less money to respond to people's needs, despite the demographic changes of an increasing number of vulnerable older people.

¹ ADASS Budget Survey, July 2016

Can personalisation in social care succeed in a time of austerity? There is a feeling in some quarters that local budget pressures, reducing services and poorly paid staff may make it extremely difficult to offer anything other than the most basic assistance to people in need of social care. In such austere times, it is very challenging to design and deliver support with the person, offering people control over how support is delivered.

The UK's vote to withdraw from the European Union has created further uncertainty. Communities in England have been allocated £5.3 billion of EU regeneration funding up to 2020. The government has announced that they will protect lost EU funding, but they haven't given details of how this will work. How will the potential loss of this money affect local authority budgets and the personalisation agenda? There is already an expected future shortage of care workers and five percent of care workers are from the EU. The UK's vote to withdraw from the EU has created further uncertainty about the care workforce.

In this climate, how can coproduction for personalised outcomes be realised? Strength or asset-based approaches, although in their early stages, are indicating that it may still be possible to sustain progress in personalisation.

Strength based approach

A new type of personalised conversation is taking into account the strengths and resources of the individual service user, their friends, families and the wider community. This enables a much more innovative asset-based approach to addressing needs.

We feel that whilst the financial sustainability of the care system is vital, so is giving people choice and control of the care they need.

Throughout the report we have highlighted some examples of local working to support personalisation.

Shropshire County Council

Shropshire has been offering Individual Service Funds (ISFs) since 2010 and now has around 539 people using an ISF. There are approximately 90 providers on their ISF Framework which includes both domiciliary care providers and supported living providers. This includes providers who offer both and who also work with council managed packages.

As part of their wider community offer, Shropshire has developed an operating model which sees an asset based approach, making best use of informal networks, friends, family and volunteers right from the start via its Let's Talk Local sessions.

Where someone may require more help, a needs assessment is completed which determines eligibility and the creation of the support plan begins. First of all the plan focuses on all elements of wider community support as well as any costed support. For any costed aspects of the support plan a discussion then takes place about the

different ways to take a Personal Budget so the individual can make an informed choice. A fact sheet on ISFs helps with the discussion, regardless of whether the individual chooses a council managed service or an ISF.

Shropshire have developed the following to support ISFs:

- an accreditation process for ISF providers, which involves a visit from contracting and checks on key requirements (recruitment, safeguarding and contingency etc.)
- auditing of ISFs to ensure providers are using the ISF flexibly and in line with support plan outcomes
- a move away from ISF paper agreements to electronic agreement which helps speed up processes
- introduced the ability to bank hours for extra flexibility
- require ISF providers to produce monthly statements to the service user

London Borough of Barnet

London Borough of Barnet is implementing strengths based approaches into assessments and support planning, and wider social work practice. It is framed with clear principles, and practitioners and users are actively influencing the shape of the programme and the rollout. All staff are being supported and trained so that social care assessments and support plan focus on the strengths of the service user. There is a focus on practitioners understanding what's available in their community that could contribute to good support.

An example of one of these projects is a local knitting group 'Wire We Knitting' run in Totteridge. The group is run by a local lady who runs a knitted wire jewelry business in Barnet. She works from home and attends regular outside retail events throughout the year. However, following a period of illness, her outside events had to be cancelled and she began to feel quite isolated, convalescing and running her business purely online.

She was a regular customer of The Waiting Room café and knew the owners. She wanted to feel less isolated and missed the usual social interaction the retail events provided, she also wanted to give something back to the community. So the Waiting Room became the meeting space. Over the next year the group grew through social media and the development of a website, [meetup](#), which helps people with similar interests to connect. Their search engine allows anyone with particular interests, to see what is available within five miles of where they live. Within two weeks of being an official meetup group, she had over 75 members join.

People who attend get so much more out of a shared activity. Most members live locally, exchanging invaluable information about local issues and services, and the group is a great support network.

This is of great social value. Really natural community development and the community supporting itself to be resilient. With no state aid!²

² <http://www.thinklocalactpersonal.org.uk/Blog/author/Martin-Walker/>

Integrated Personal Commissioning Programme

The Integrated Personal Commissioning (IPC) programme, is a new approach to joining up health, social care and other services at the level of the individual. It enables people, carers and families to blend and control the resources available to them across the system in order to commission their own care through personalised care planning and Personal Health and Care Budgets. This represents a step change in ambition for actively involving people, carers and families as partners in their health care alongside social care.

IPC is one of the key steps towards delivering the Five Year Forward View (5YFV), building on learning from Personal Budgets in social care and progress with Personal Health Budgets. ADASS was one of the co-chairs of IPC Commissioning Prospectus³. In May 2016, based on learning from the first year of the programme, the IPC Emerging Framework was published by NHS England and the Local Government Association. The framework describes the five key shifts to make IPC a reality: proactive coordination of care, community capacity and peer support, personalised care and support planning, choice and control, and personalised commissioning and payment. The national roll-out of the IPC programme begun in May 2016, with areas being asked to signal their interest to be 'early adopters' of IPC through their Sustainability and Transformation Plans.

It is hoped IPC will be fast moving and bring about substantial changes to the running of local services. To make this a reality there needs to be better integration between health and social care to address fragmentation between services, and improve people's experience of, and outcomes from care.

Personal Budgets

Personal Budgets are an essential component of personalisation. It's becoming increasingly clear that Personal Budgets provide a strong connection between how they are delivered and the impact on people's lives. For example, where an older person is fully involved in planning their support they are four times more likely to report greater independence. However, experiences vary greatly from place-to-place and across different groups of people. For example in the In Control survey for Personal Budget holders asked people to state the main reason for which they were getting a Personal Budget. The most common reason people gave for was physical disability (40.3 percent), but for sensory disability it was only 1.2 percent.⁴ Some of the challenges highlighted for people getting Personal Budgets, were that they found the process challenging due to making changes in support, lack of information and advice, understanding restrictions placed on the use of the Personal Budgets etc. However, these barriers must be overcome as evidence suggests there are clear benefits and improvements to people's quality of life. TLAP will be shortly publishing a paper on Personal Budgets 'Gathering the Evidence for Personal Budgets: Making Personal Budgets work for all'. The paper will be based on the outcomes of a

³ TLAP, LGA and ADASS produced the 'IPC Commissioning Prospectus' in September 2014. This was published alongside 'Getting serious about personalisation in the NHS'.

⁴ In Control, TLAP and Lancaster University, PB survey, October 2014.

number of roundtable events with key sector colleagues to respond to the National Audit Office report on Personal Budgets (Personalised Commissioning in Adult Social Care). The paper will make a number of recommendations about improving the evidence base for Personal Budgets and will argue that Personal Budgets need to be understood in the wider context of personalisation and transformation.

In some authorities, it was felt that mechanisms and processes associated with Personal Budgets are still too complicated and cumbersome. Although Personal Budgets are widespread, more change is needed to ensure that they can be achieved nimbly and effectively.

More data is still needed to show the benefits of Personal Budgets. The Public Accounts Committee's report on Personal Budgets recommended that the Department of Health, with partner organisations, should carry out further analysis of existing data from the Adult Social Care Survey as well as improving the POET survey and its take-up, to improve evidence and understanding of both how Personal Budgets are used and how they lead to better outcomes for users.

Personal Health Budgets have been in operation for some years, but in April 2015, Clinical Commissioning Groups expanded the use of Personal Health Budgets by offering them to people with long-term conditions. People who use mental health services will be among those expected to be offered the budgets. The NHS Mandate has a target of 50,000-100,000 PHBs by 2020. Currently there are 7,500.⁵

The acceleration of Personal Health Budgets and IPC through the 5YFV offers a great opportunity for local government and the NHS to strengthen personalisation at a local level and share learning from the local authority experience of developing processes to support Personal Budgets.

TLAP case study

Rosemary (not her real name) has had a positive experience with Personal Budgets for her son and mother. Her son was diagnosed with autism and a severe learning disability at the age of three, and then with a mental health condition during his teenage years. Her mum, has dementia and complex health needs and is totally immobile. She lives on her own about 15 minutes from Rosemary.

Following an undiagnosed broken leg and problems with her mobility, Rosemary's mum was placed into a care home. During the 10 months she was there she lost the will to live and became a shadow of her former self (Rosemary isn't against all care homes, but this is her experience of the one her mum was placed in). Rosemary knew about Personal Budgets as her son had one that she managed.

Rosemary's mum's social worker was really unhappy about the request for a direct payment to bring her home. The social worker told her quite clearly that they didn't work for older people and questioned Rosemary's motive for even asking. The understanding about Personal Budgets between the learning disability team and older adults was unbelievable, however, that didn't stop Rosemary. After much

⁵ NHSE Figures May 2016. See link from 5th July 2016 <https://www.england.nhs.uk/healthbudgets/2016/05/18/greater-choice-of-care/>

fighting and firmness her mum was offered a direct payment. They organised her support staff, got the house ready and brought her home.

By choosing and employing their own staff Rosemary is able to keep consistency and reliability in both her son's and her mother's life. They have had the same personal assistants (PA) for her son for the last 11 years and can plan things successfully around his scheduled support. It is a lot less stressful as Rosemary knows her son and her mum are getting good quality person centred care. Rosemary's mother has been successfully using a direct payment for the last five years, she loves the people who support her and they love her.

For her son it has meant that he can take part in his hobby. He has a real passion for World War Two and is a fanatical World War Two re-enactor and member of several living history groups. Rosemary used to take her son every weekend, but he knew it wasn't really her thing. Now his Personal Budget helps go towards a PA to take him to these re-enactments. They are often over-night so while her son pays for all his own costs, the Personal Budget goes towards the expenses that his personal assistant will have, such as hotel bills. Before he started re-enacting he didn't have a particular focus in life, however, since going to re-enactments his interest has encouraged him in so many ways. For example, it has given him the desire to learn to read and write and spurred him on academically.

Personal Budgets have had a really positive impact on all of Rosemary's family's lives, Rosemary has gone back to being a daughter to her mum and a mum to her son.⁶

North East Lincolnshire FOCUS

Agnes (not her real name) is in her 80s with dementia, she lives in her own home alone and has no local family network. She needs help with personal care, diet, medication, finances, shopping and housework. Most of all, Agnes wanted to remain in her own home.

However, Agnes was not coping very well. The support provided during this period consisted of: four - 30 minute calls per day for personal care, one shopping and one cleaning call per week, and corporate appointee for finances.

It was decided that the support package was not working, that the risks were too great, and Agnes was placed in respite care. Analysing a number of cases where people had been admitted to respite care with similar and lesser needs, the general result was that they became permanent residents with poor outcomes, in terms of their health, and increased dependency levels.

In Agnes' case there was a determined effort to return her home, which led to:

- Agnes being allocated a Personal Budget
- work by the financial appointee to enable her to use some of her own money to part fund a new care package,
- Agnes supported to appoint her own team of 3 carers

⁶ TLAP case study, provided April 2016.

- extended support hours from 8am to 1pm and from 3pm to 8pm
- just checking service
- tele-care to monitor 'gaps'

Due to the more intensive involvement by a consistent staff team it was quickly realised that Agnes' night wandering was related to severe weather conditions, it was therefore agreed that the carers could put in an extra night shift, without the involvement of the case manager, in these circumstances. These are purchased by Agnes but monitored by the appointee.

The outcome for Agnes was extremely positive:

- Care staff got on well with Agnes and made sure they put her needs and wishes first
- They supported Agnes to go shopping, to cook and bake making sure she had fresh cooked food every day
- Agnes used to be a keen golfer and was able to take part in sessions on the driving range, which was something she hadn't been able to do in a long time
- Agnes now enjoys gardening with her carers
- The carers have helped her establish contact with family members who had moved to New Zealand
- Agnes has a bus pass and goes out for meals and shopping trips with her carers, which is an enormous change from the isolated life she was leading that was greatly impacting on her mental and physical health.⁷

Integration and collaboration with NHS partners

The aim of integration is to help organisations work across traditional boundaries to better deliver co-ordinated care and support that improves people's health and wellbeing. Integrated care is primarily about an individuals' experience of care and ensuring better outcomes through coordinated, person-centred care and support.

Real integration of health and care services holds the promise of accelerating the delivery of personalisation, through providing seamless care, tailored to the individual's needs and focused on outcomes rather than the workforce, organisational structures or the setting in which care is delivered. It should allow patients to choose and control what care they receive, where and by whom it is provided by and place more emphasis on prevention. Some areas have been further hampered by a lack of commitment to make the necessary changes to clinical practices and operational processes. This has limited transformation and reduced the scope and scale of benefits.

It is important that the commissioning landscape that underpins the health and social care system in 2020 is characterised as much by the ability to personalise and shape care and support in response to individual needs and preferences, as it is by place-based approaches and new models of care.

⁷ North East Lincolnshire FOCUS, September 2016

Workforce

The workforce needs to develop the right skills, values and behaviours to better empower service users and communities to have choice and control over the care which they receive. However, this is against a backdrop of capacity pressures on the adult social care workforce as demand for services increases while the profile, status and pay of the sector remain low.

It is currently estimated that the number of jobs in adult social care that may be needed to meet the future social care needs of adults and older people in England is projected to grow by between 15 percent and 55 percent between 2013 and 2025.⁸

The impact of the National Living Wage (NLW) on the care sector has been much discussed. However, the impact of NLW on personalisation is more difficult to measure. It is not known how this and other employment changes (such as the requirement to meet pension costs for PAs) are impacting on the use of direct payments for personalised care. It is important that PAs are properly remunerated for their work. However, given that 56 per cent of directors of adult social services believe that Personal Budgets will get smaller over the next two years, there is a real need to consider the requirements of personalisation in a fair funding settlement for adult social care.⁹

Maintaining a caring, compassionate and trained workforce is essential for people to develop personalised care and support services. Partners - providers, councils, commissioners and the government - need to work closer to address the workforce recognition, recruitment and retention issues, to enable personalised care to be offered to all.

The Care Act 2014

The intended effect of the Care Act 2014 is to improve the outcomes and experience of care, and secure a more effective use of public and community resources by improving the personalisation of services, giving people rights to more choice and control over how their desired outcomes are achieved. Choice and control is fundamental to the core purpose of adult social care and support which the Act's guidance sees as being to help people achieve the outcomes that matter to them in their life. Nearly all councils (99 percent) agree that they have been successful in embedding the statutory requirements of the Act.¹⁰

The Care Act 2014 put the right to a Personal Budget and self-directed support into primary legislation for the first time. The national eligibility criteria and Care Act 2014 regulations and guidance set out that personalisation and self-directed support is now the expected norm of the care and support system in England.

As organisations start to analyse the impact of the Care Act 2014, it is important to make sure that its intended consequences towards personalised care are being met. Councils will be judged by how they enable people to live independently for as long

⁸ Skills for Care, The state of the adult social care sector and workforce in England, March 2015

⁹ ADASS Budget Survey, July 2016

¹⁰ Care Act Stocktake 6, November 2016.

as possible, preventing the development of ill health and social care needs, and focusing on the needs of the individual rather than the demands of the service, but our budget surveys show that increasingly only those with the highest levels of need are able to access state funded services and increasingly expenditure on prevention is being squeezed.

In Control carried out a survey that asked how much difference the Care Act 2014 had made to the experience of people with care and support needs, and carers. A third of respondents said they had less choice and control over the support they received. Half reported that councils were restricting the use of how their budgets were spent regarding personal care – meaning help with such things as washing and dressing, rather than help to leave the house.¹¹ TLAP will soon be publishing the results of a survey of the Care Act. The survey has focused exclusively on people who use services and carers.

Community capacity and asset based approaches

The ability to feel part of and contribute to a community is a right everyone should have. Everyone has something to offer. We know that profound changes can happen when ordinary people take the initiative. People need to feel they can not only be in the community, but part of the community as well.

Assets, strengths or resilience-based approaches help people and communities to come together to achieve positive change using their own experience, knowledge and skills. These approaches recognise the personal, social and physical capital that exists within local communities.

However, these approaches should not be seen as just a free substitute for care – they can provide care and support but offer more. Communities and families need also to be supported with strength based working to avoid exploiting caring individuals.

Derby City Council

Derby City Council has developed a Local Area Coordination Scheme which aims to involve local people in developing local services. Derby's scheme has three main aims:

- People not yet known to services to help build resilience and remain part of their community (staying strong – avoiding need for services)
- People at risk of becoming dependent on services to remain strong in their own community diverting the need for more expensive 'formal service' responses (reduce demand).
- People already reliant on services to become less so and more resilient in their own community.

The Local Area Coordination Scheme specifically meets the Care Act duty to prevent, reduce or delay the need for care.

¹¹ In Control, Independent Living Survey, November 2016.

In March 2016, a social evaluation was carried out on Derby City Council's Local Area Coordination Scheme. It found the following conclusions:

- People less reliant on traditional services - find community based solutions to their problems
- People had improved health and wellbeing – reduced loneliness and social isolation, increased confidence, independence and control over their lives
- Supports Care Act duties to empower people and improve health and wellbeing outcomes through community solutions.
- Supports the 5YFV's focus on prevention, person centred and flexible care through local and joined up support
- Demonstrates the value and cost benefit to support continued investment through the Better Care Fund and Adult Social Care Funding and potential value should the service be expanded to all 17 wards.
- Generates £4.00 of social value for every £1.00 invested

One of Derby's successful schemes is in Alvaston. They have identified community 'citizens', leaders to help develop local resources for example, the Alvaston Residents' Association. They have undertaken a number of local actions: community funding events; developing a local resource database, 'Alvaston Community Exchange', set up a carers group and developed a number of community coordinators.¹²



Co-production

Think Local Act Personal's (TLAP) National co-production advisory group is a key forum to help support personalised services. They are committed to making sure that personalised services and support based in the community become a reality for everyone. ADASS works closely with the co-production advisory group and TLAP more widely, to raise the profile of the personalisation agenda.

¹² SROI evaluation TLAP commissioned for the Derby LAC scheme

Sally Percival one of the group's members said; "Good individualised support doesn't happen by accident, everyone has to work together making sure the person receiving support is at the heart of everything and has total choice and control about all decisions."

The evidence is increasingly clear that better engagement (involvement and co-production) has a real positive impact, particularly if services are created in partnership with citizens and communities, and volunteering and social action are key enablers.¹³

Making Safeguarding Personal

As personalisation has become mainstreamed into core adult social care practice, it has also become a core part of adult safeguarding. Safeguarding practice is changing to ensure that the person at risk is in control throughout the safeguarding process and the outcomes they want shape the enquiry.

'Unless people's lives are improved, then all the safeguarding work, systems, procedures and partnerships are purposeless. Currently directors and Safeguarding Adults Boards are faced with a plethora of input/output data but no way of telling from it if they really are making any impact. Directors must have a means of knowing what works and how they are making a difference to people'.¹⁴

The Making Safeguarding Personal (MSP) temperature check shows how embedded this approach has become and the distance still to travel. The MSP approach started mainly in safeguarding teams and services but is now rapidly spreading out into generic teams. MSP is proving to be a natural partner of personalisation of services and in some areas MSP has made a home within the 'golden thread' of a user-focussed approach. Social workers appear to have embraced MSP and see it as a refreshing change to care management methods and a return to social work core values. They have welcomed the opportunities to be more creative in response to the wishes of service users.¹⁵

The MSP impact tool has been successfully used by councils participating in the scheme. It has been amended for use by councils who wish to introduce person-centred safeguarding, as a way of thinking about and recording, how they wish to approach this work.

Conclusion

We fully support the principles of personalisation by giving people choice, control and independence. Individuals should be in charge of their own care and support needs, and key decisions that affect them. Many local authorities have worked hard to uphold the principles of personalisation. However, the discussions are taking place in a very difficult financial climate. The continued pressures on public finances

¹³ '6 Principles for engaging people and communities', People and Communities Board, National Voices, June 2016

¹⁴ Safeguarding Adults: Advice and Guidance to Directors of Adult Social Services' ADASS/LGA, March 2013

¹⁵ 'Making Safeguarding Personal Temperature Check', ADASS July 2016.

could put at risk the progress made with personalisation and the important changes still needed. There needs to be continued attention to the personalisation agenda to ensure that it delivers the best outcomes for people, carers and families, regardless of where they live, their needs or circumstances. The principles of personalisation remain as relevant as ever and vital to ensure a high quality adult social care.

Although adult social care is well on the way in this journey, there is more to do to realise the full vision of personalisation. The examples in this paper show some of the ways of working that can help bring about that further development and show the potential of personalisation in a climate of austerity.

Appendices

Appendix 1

- Sponsors – Dawn Wakeling and Denise Porter
- Personalisation Network members
- Staff – Mark Hill, Andriana Delevich

During the course of the work we have engaged with a number of organisations:

- The Personalisation Network
- National Conference of Adult Services
- The Eastern and West Midlands ADASS regions
- College of Occupational Therapists
- Think Local Act Personal (TLAP) and NCAG
- Integrated Personal Commissioning Programme

Supporting documents

- *Distinctive Valued Personal*, ADASS, March 2015
- *Budget survey*, ADASS, June 2015
- *Putting People First*, DH, December 2009
- *Five Year Forward View*, NHSE, October 2014
- *Vision 2020, In Control*, 2010
- *Integrated Personal Commissioning, Emerging Framework*, NHSE, May 2016
- *Making It Real*, TLAP, October 2011
- *Personalisation Action Plan*, TLAP, May 2014
- *Making Safeguarding Personal Evaluation*, October 2015